

Cambridge International Examinations

Cambridge Ordinary Level

HISTORY (MODERN WORLD AFFAIRS)

2134/02

Paper 2 International Relations and Developments

October/November 2016

MARK SCHEME
Maximum Mark: 40

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2016 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

® IGCSE is the registered trademark of Cambridge International Examinations.



[Turn over

© UCLES 2016

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge O Level – October/November 2016	2134	02

Notes

- The full mark range will be used as a matter of course. Marks must not be deducted for inaccurate or irrelevant material. Half-marks will not be used.
- Levels of response criteria are used for questions where a hierarchy of answers is possible. Each
 answer is to be placed in the level that best reflects its qualities. It is not necessary to work
 through the levels.
- In all levels, provisionally award the highest mark and then moderate according to the qualities of the individual answer.
- Arguments need to be supported with evidence. Lots of facts/dates are not required.
- No set answer is looked for to any question. The examples given in the mark scheme are indicative only and are not intended to be exhaustive or prescriptive. They are given only as examples of some responses/approaches that may be seen by an examiner.

	The Treaty of Versailles		
1	Study Source A. What can you learn from the cartoon about the Treaty? Use details from the source to explain your answer. [6		
	Level 0: No response or response does not answer the question	[0]	
	Level 1: Uses surface features of the cartoon only e.g. There is a horse and cart with wording.	[1–2]	
	Level 2: Makes inference without support e.g. The treaty is unfair. The treaty is harsh. The treaty is punishing/punitive.	[3–4]	
	Level 3: Inference about impact with support from the source e.g. Unfair shown as an exhausted horse. Punitive as it has unlimited indemnity. Harsh because of the whip.	[5–6]	
2	Study Sources B and C. How similar are Sources B and C? Explain your answer.	[8]	
	Level 0: No response or response does not answer the question	[0]	
	Level 1: Writes about the sources but no valid similarities or similarity based on source type e.g. Source B is a newspaper and Source C is from a person.		
	Level 2: False matching: identifies content that is in one source but not the other e.g. Source C talks about deeds but this isn't mentioned in Source B.	[3]	
	Level 3: Compares the sources for similarity or non-similarity of detail e.g. They are similar because in Source C it says that they are 'terrible terms' while in Sou states that the conditions are 'undeniably severe.' OR	[4] rce B it	
	They are not similar because Source C says Germany can 'restore, repair and redress' bu Source B it recognises Germany's 'inability to pay and make good the loss and damage.'	t in	
	Level 4: Compares the sources for similarity and non-similarity of detail	[5]	
	Level 5: Compares the sources for similarity or non-similarity of opinion with supporting the sources They are both of the opinion that the Treaty was justified. They differ on whether it was fair.	rt from [6–7]	
	Level 6: Compares the sources for similarity and non-similarity of opinion with support from the sources	oort [8]	

Mark Scheme

Cambridge O Level – October/November 2016

Syllabus 2134

Paper

02

Page 3

		Cambridge O Level – October/November 2016	2134	02
3	Stud	y Source D. How trustworthy is this source? Explain your answe	r.	[7]
	Leve	0: No response or response does not answer the question		[0]
	Leve	I 1: Answer which writes about the source		[1]
	sour e.g. a	I 2: Unsupported assertions/undeveloped provenance or identifie ce that they do/do not trust, but no explanation armed to the teeth not trustworthy. a German.	s things in	the [2]
	e.g. H He w	I 3: Everyday/common sense reasons for trust/not trusted He is a minister after all so he should know. as at the treaty discussions but he left early. alid reasons which fall short of what is required in higher levels		[3–4]
	know e.g. r Refer	I 4: Evaluation of D based on specific cross reference to other solviedge or on language/tone of source efer to B about defence and the military naval conditions. To E re unlimited indemnity. Solind hatred/senseless fury being emotive.	urces/cont	extual [5–6]
	e.g. S	I 5: Evaluation of D based on both cross reference and contextual Source B says it 'may not seem so fair.' ts after the treaty show it was not fair and during the Peace talks Germ		
4	Stud	y Source E. What is the message of the source? Explain your ans	swer	[7]
	Leve	I 0: No response or response does not answer the question		[0]
		I 1: Answer which describes cartoon		[4]
	e.g. i	here is a man in a uniform.		[1]
		I 2: Misinterpretation of cartoon The treaty is unfair.		[2–3]
		I 3: Sub-message Germany is not happy with the treaty and has been complaining.		[4]
		I 4: Main message reaty is fair.		[5]
	The A	I 5: Main message with contextual support Allies were fair/not harsh, Germany would have imposed worse conditions of Brest Litoyek. The Germans exacted a terrible price from the Pure		[6–7] ample,

Mark Scheme

Syllabus

Paper

Treaty of Brest Litovsk. The Germans exacted a terrible price from the Russians.

Page 4

Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge O Level – October/November 2016	2134	02

5 Use all the sources. 'The Treaty was unfair to Germany.' How far do the sources support this judgement? Explain your answer. [12]

Level 0: No response or response does not answer the question

[0]

Level 1: Writes about treaty but not about the sources or uses sources without valid explanation [1–3]

e.g. repeats parts of the sources.

Level 2: Use of source content at face value to support or question the judgement [4–6] e.g. Yes –

Source A Germany as represented by the horse is exhausted and has unlimited indemnity.

Source B Germany is unable to pay for the loss and damage.

Source C Lloyd George in the source says how terrible it was.

Source D Scheidemann says it was unfair.

Level 3: Use of source content at face value to support and question the judgement [7–9] e.g. As Level 2 plus:

No, in Source E the cartoon's message is that it is fair.

Source B not too severe for the rest of the world's safety.

Source C It is just a precaution to stop Germany or any country trying this.

Up to three additional marks will be available for developed evaluation of the sources.